

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>ROBERT W. SMITH,</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
<b>Petitioner</b>	:	
<b>vs.</b>	:	<b>NO. 96-6160</b>
	:	
<b>WARDEN, JOHN KERESTES, et al.,</b>	:	
<b>Respondents</b>	:	

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 23rd day of January, 2013, upon consideration of the petitioner's "motion for Order" (Document #17), his motions to correct (Documents #24 and #28), and the respondents' responses thereto (Document #19, #22 and #29), IT IS **HEREBY ORDERED** that the motions are **DENIED** in their entirety.

IT IS **FURTHER ORDERED** that any requests filed by the petitioner to amend or correct his original motion under Rule 60(b), though not docketed as "motions," are also **DENIED**.

The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this case **CLOSED** for all purposes.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel  
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.